	1	HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC Haig B. Kazandjian, Esq. Bar No.: 278622	Superior Court of California	
	2	haig@hbklawyers.com Cathy Gonzalez, Esq. Bar No.: 310625	County of Los Angeles 07/05/2024	
-	3	cathy@hbklawyers.com 801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 970	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
1	4	Glendale, California 91203	By: M. Jones Deputy	
12:07	5	Telephone: 1-818-696-2306 Facsimile: 1-818-696-2307		
Electronically Received 02/15/2024 12:07 PM	6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff GILBERTO GONZALEZ, individually, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated		
	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	9 10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES –NORTHEAST DISTRICT		
ally F	11	GILBERTO GONZALEZ, individually,	Case No. 22AHCV00255	
onic	12	and on behalf of all persons similarly situated;	[Assigned for all purposes to Honorable Margaret L. Oldendorf, Dept. P]	
B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC 801 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 970 Glendale, CA 91203 (818)696-2306 Fax: (818)696-2307 Electr	13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION	
	14	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
	15	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION	
	16	a Washington Corporation, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	SETTLEMENT	
DJIA DJIA DJIA DJ Blv CA Blv CA Blv CA Blv CA Blv DJIA	17		[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion for Preliminary Approval; Memorandum of Points	
HAIG B. KAZANDJI 801 N. Brand F Glendale, Tel: (818)696-2306	18	Defendants.	and Authorities In Support of Motion; Declaration of Haig B. Kazandjian;	
IAIG B. 80 Tel: (81	19 20		Defendant's Declaration Re Settlement; Declaration of Administrator; and Declaration	
H	20		of Gilberto Gonzalez]	
	21 22		Date: April 23, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: P	
	22		<b>RESERVATION ID: 299844400197</b>	
	23 24		Action Filed: May 3, 2022	
	24		Trial Date: None Yet Set	
	26			
	27	The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for		
	28	Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and having heard argument regarding the		
		1 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		

1 || Motion on April 23, 2024, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

1. The Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement And Class Notice
("Settlement Agreement") attached to the Declaration of Haig B. Kazandjian as Exhibit 1, is
within the range of possible recovery and, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval
Hearing described below, is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of
the absent class members;

7 2 For purposes of settlement only, the Court provisionally and conditionally 8 certifies the following class: "Class" which is defined as the following individuals, who are all 9 Class Members by virtue of belonging to any of the three groups of Class Members. Group 1 10 *Class Members* are all individuals employed by Costco in California as non-exempt employees 11 who received a meal premium payment during the period April 12, 2021 through October 10, 12 2021 (the "Hero Pay" period) at any of 22 Costco warehouses that were subject to local 13 ordinances (commonly known as "Hero Pay" ordinances) that mandated additional pay to certain 14 workers during the Covid-19 pandemic. The 22 locations are Van Nuys (#48), San Leandro 15 (#118), Los Feliz (#130), San Francisco (#144), San Jose (#148), S. San Francisco (#422), 16 Alhambra (#428), Northridge (# 437), Irvine (#454), Almaden (#470), El Camino (#475), Culver 17 City (#479), Richmond (#482), Burbank BCTR (#653), S San Francisco BCTR (#654), Burbank 18 (#677), San Jose BCTR (#848), NE San Jose (#1004), Redwood City (#1042), Pacoima (#1071), 19 Woodland Hills (#1205), and Great Oaks (#1267). Group 2 Class Members are all individuals 20 employed by Costco in California as non-exempt employees during the Settlement Period who 21 received an Extra Check and who also received a meal or rest premium during the measuring 22 period for that Extra Check. Group 3 Class Members are all individuals employed by Costco in 23 California as non-exempt employees during the period July 7, 2022 through the end of the 24Settlement Period who received an Extra Check and who also received pay for sick leave during 25 the measuring period for that Extra Check. Some Class Members will belong to more than one 26 Group.

3. The Court finds the Settlement Class, consisting of approximately 27,000
members, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and that the Settlement

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1 Class is ascertainable by reference to the business records of Defendant.

2 4. The Court finds further there are questions of law and fact common to the entire 3 Settlement Class, which common questions predominate over any individualized questions of 4 law or fact. These common questions include, without limitation: (1) whether Defendants paid 5 Settlement Class Members at the correct rate when paying meal and rest break premiums during 6 the same pay periods when other compensation in the form of Hero Pay and/or Extra Checks 7 were paid to class members, (2) whether Defendants paid Settlement Class Members at the 8 correct rate when paying sick pay during the same pay periods when other compensation in the 9 form Extra Checks was paid to class members, (3) whether Defendants timely paid Settlement 10 Class Members during employment, and at separation, and (4) whether Defendants provided 11 Settlement Class Members with proper itemized wage statements.

5. The Court finds further the claims of named Plaintiff Gilberto Gonzalez are
typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that he will fairly and adequately protect the
interests of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court appoints Gilberto Gonzalez as the
Class Representative, and appoints his counsel of record Haig B. Kazandjian, APC, as Class
Counsel.

6. The Court finds further that certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

19 7. The Court finds further that, in the present case, the proposed method of 20 providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class via First Class U.S. Mail to each 21 Settlement Class Member's last known address, is reasonably calculated to notify the Settlement 22 Class Members of the proposed Settlement and provides the best notice possible under the 23 circumstances. The Court also finds the Notice of Class Action Settlement form is sufficient to 24 inform the Settlement Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and their rights thereunder, 25 including the right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof and the procedure for doing so, 26 their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement and the procedure for doing so, their right 27 to obtain a portion of the Settlement proceeds, and the date, time and location of the Final 28 Approval Hearing. The proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement (Exhibit A to the Settlement

17

18

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Agreement) and the procedure for providing Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement, are
approved by the Court.

8. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court approves the Parties' selection of Atticus as the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members via First-Class U.S. Mail as specified in the Settlement Agreement, and to otherwise carry out all other duties set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Parties are ordered to carry out and comply with all terms of this Order and the Settlement Agreement, and particularly with respect to providing the Settlement Administrator all information necessary to perform its duties under the Settlement Agreement.

9. Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to be excluded, or object to the
Settlement or any term thereof, including any proposed award of attorney's fees and costs to
Class Counsel or any proposed representative enhancement to the Class Representative, shall
have sixty (60) days from the mailing of the Class Notice to request exclusion and/or to object,
as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.

15 10. A Final Approval Hearing is hereby set for October 21, 2024 at 8:30 a.m.; OR, 16 for\_ \_, 2024, at \_\_\_\_\_a.m/ p.m. in Department P of the Los Angeles County Superior 17 Court, Pasadena Courthouse, to consider any objections to the Settlement, determine if the 18 proposed Settlement should be found fair, adequate and reasonable and given full and final 19 approval by the Court, and to determine the amount of attorney's fees and costs awarded to Class 20Counsel, the amount of any representative enhancement award to the Class Representative, and 21 to approve the fees and costs payable to the Settlement Administrator. All legal memoranda, 22 affidavits, declarations, or other evidence in support of the request for final approval, the award 23 of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel, the enhancement award to the Class 24Representative, and the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, shall be filed no later 25 than sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. The Court reserves the right to 26 continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.

27 11. Provided he or she has not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion,
28 any Settlement Class Member may appear, personally or through his or her own counsel, and be

1 heard at the Final Approval Hearing regardless of whether he or she has submitted a written

2 || objection.

3 12. The Court orders the following Schedule for further proceedings: 4 5 a. \_\_\_\_\_, 2024 Deadline for Defendant to submit Class List and Data Report to Settlement 6 Administrator [within 15 court days of preliminary 7 approval order] 8 9 b. Deadline for Settlement Administrator to \_\_\_\_\_, 2024 Mail the Notice Packets to Class Members 10 [within 14 days of receipt of the Class List 11 and Data Report from Defendants] 12 c. Deadline for Settlement Class Members to , 2024 postmark Requests for Exclusions, 13 Objections, or to Challenge Calculation of [60 calendar days after mailing of the Notice Packet to Class Members] Workdays 14 15 d. Deadline for Class Counsel to File Motion \_\_\_\_\_, 2024 16 for Final Approval of Settlement Including the requested attorneys' fees [150 days from preliminary approval date] 17 and costs including any expenses and declarations in support thereof; and 18 deadline to file declaration by Atticus Re 19 Service of Class Notice, receipt and filing of objections 20 October 21, 2024 at 8:30 a.m.; e. **Final Approval Hearing** OR\_\_\_\_\_, 2024 21 at <u>Ì Á€</u>a.m. /p.m. 22 [180 days after Order Granting Preliminary 23 Approval] 24 Jared D. Moses 07/05/2024 Dated: \_\_ 25 Judge of the Superior Court 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC 801 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 970 Glendale, CA 91203 Tel: (818)696-2306 Fax: (818)696-2307

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
3086725	2v.1 PROOF OF SERVICE

PROOF OF SERVICE